IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	ATTY.'S DOCKET: KVITNITSKY=1A
In re Application of:) Confirmation No.: 5965
Emma KVITNITSKY et al) Art Unit: 1625)
Appln. No.: 10/553,757) Examiner: N. S. CHANDRAKUMAR
Filing Date: 04/21/2004 371(c) Date: January 13, 2007) September 22, 2008
For: STABLIZED DERIVATIVES OF ASCORBIC ACID) MONDAY))

REPLY TO RESTRICTION AND ELECTION REQUIREMENTS

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment
Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Applicants are in receipt of the Office Action mailed April 21, 2008, and reply below. Attached is a petition for four (4) months' extension of time.

Restriction has been required among what the PTO has held to be twelve (12) separate inventions, presumably each patentably distinct from the others. As applicants must make an election even though the requirement is traversed, applicants hereby respectfully and provisionally elect Group 1, claims 1-14, with traverse and without prejudice.

Appln. No. 10/553,757 Reply dated September 22, 2008 Reply to Office Action of April 21, 2008

First, the present application is the U.S. National Phase of PCT/IL04/00343, and no problem of unity of invention was identified by ISA/US. Lack of unity of invention has not been established by the PTO, and was not even alleged during the international stage, and there is common subject matter among the claims which conforms to the requirements of PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2.

Moreover, as regards the restriction among Groups 1-4 the requirement violates *In re Weber et al*, 198 USPQ 328, 331 (CCPA 1978).

Applicants respectfully request that the requirement be at least partially withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicants

Ву

Sheridan Neimark

Registration No. 20,520

SN:jnj

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\B\BENA\KVITNITSKY1A\PTO\2008-9-22RESTRICTRESP.doc